FILED
NOV 14 193
CHARLES ELMORE CR
CL

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1936

No. 98

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellant,

vs.

CURTISS-WRIGHT EXPORT CORPORATION, CURTISS AEROPLANE & MOTOR COMPANY, INC., BARR SHIPPING CORPORATION, JOHN S. ALLARD, SAMUEL J. ABELOW, and ROBERT R. BARR,

Appellees.

BRIEF FOR BARR SHIPPING CORPORATION AND ROBERT R. BARR

NEIL P. CULLOM,
JAMES E. FREEHILL,
Counsel for Appellees,
Barr Shipping Corporation and
Robert R. Barr.

CORPORATE PRESS, INC., NEW YORK CITY

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1936

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *Appellant*, vs. CURTISS-WRIGHT EXPORT CORPORATION, CURTISS AEROPLANE & MOTOR COMPANY, INC., BARR SHIPPING CORPORATION, JOHN S. ALLARD, SAMUEL J. ABELOW, and ROBERT R. BARR, *Appellees*.	No. 98

BRIEF FOR BARR SHIPPING CORPORATION AND ROBERT R. BARR

The appellees, Barr Shipping Corporation and Robert R. Barr, are content with the reasons already expressed by the other appellees in their briefs for sustaining the demurrer and therefore, for the sake of brevity, will not present a separate argument.

Respectfully submitted,

NEIL P. CULLOM,
JAMES E. FREEHILL,
Counsel for Appellees,
Barr Shipping Corporation and
Rober R. Barr.